	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/792,153	ECCLES, ROBERT E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phallaka Kik	2825
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final rejection</u>		
(1) <u>Phallaka Kik</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Keith A. Kanroo (Reg. No. 36,480)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 August 2006	Time: <u>5:30PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	
Claims discussed: 18		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Phallad Ideal		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's indication that claim 18 have 101 problem since "signal bearing medium" is directed to non-patentable category of invention, Applicant's Representative authorizes the Examiner to amend the claim to recite --computer readable medium-- instead, as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment. In addition, the Examiner also inform Applicant's Representative that the hyperlink in the spec needs to be removed and applicant also authorizes such amendment to the specification as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment.